1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General RICHARD D. MARINO, State Bar No. 90471 Deputy Attorney General ELAINE GYURKO		
3			
4			
5	Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-4944		
6			
7	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11			
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. S-380	
13	TRACEY SUE FORRESTER 7036 Victoria Avenue Apt. # G	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
14	Highland, California 92346		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in		
20	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California		
21	(Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about August 14, 2006, the Board received an application for a		
23	Respiratory Care Practitioner License from Tracey Sue Forrester (Respondent). On or about		
24	August 7, 2006, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all		
25	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on		
26	December 11, 2006.		
27	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
28	3. This Statement of Issues is bro	ought before the Board under the authority of	

the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code), unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3732, subdivision (b) of the Code states:

"The board may deny an application, or may order the issuance of a license with terms and conditions, for any of the causes specified in this chapter for suspension or revocation of a license, including, but not limited to, those causes specified in Sections 3750, 3750.5, 3752.5, 3752.6, 3755, 3757, 3760, and 3761."

7. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

"

"(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.

8. Section 3750.5 of the Code states:

"In addition to any other grounds specified in this chapter, the board may deny, suspend, or revoke the license of any applicant or license holder who has done any of the following:

"(a) Obtained or possessed in violation of law, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administered to himself or herself, or furnished or administered to another, any controlled substances as

defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code. . . . "

"(b) Used any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code.

"..."

9. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

10. Section 492 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest."

spoke to a male suspect who stated that two females had given him a ride to the bank and they were waiting for him in a parked vehicle. The officers located the vehicle and saw Respondent sitting in the driver's seat. One of the officers then saw Respondent drop an object resembling a glass smoking pipe on the driver's side floorboard of the vehicle. Upon inspection of the glass smoking pipe, the officer found a melted substance resembling methamphetamine. The officer noticed that Respondent displayed objective symptoms of being under the influence of a controlled substance. A background check revealed that she had an outstanding bench warrant. Respondent was placed under arrest. She admitted to the officer that the pipe and the methamphetamine belonged to her. She stated that she had melted some methamphetamine in the pipe and then she smoked it.

- B. On or about January 25, 1995, in Riverside County Superior Court Complaint No. 319343, Respondent was charged with possession of the controlled substance methamphetamine, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377(a), a misdemeanor (Count 1), use/under the influence of a controlled substance, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a), a misdemeanor (Count 2), and possession of drug paraphernalia, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11364, a misdemeanor (Count 3).
- C. On or about February 24, 1995, criminal proceedings were adjourned, and Respondent was granted diversion by the court on Counts 1, 2 and 3 for twelve months pursuant to Penal Code section 1000.2.
- D. On or about May 2, 1996, Respondent failed to appear for a diversion progress hearing, diversion proceedings were terminated, and criminal proceedings were reinstated. On or about June 26, 1996, the court ordered diversion reinstated on Counts 1, 2, and 3 on the same terms and conditions as previously ordered. Respondent was ordered to complete a drug court program.
- E. On or about July 10, 1996, Respondent failed to comply with the drug court program and criminal proceedings were reinstated. Respondent was

convicted pursuant to a plea of guilty to possession of the controlled substance methamphetamine (Count 1). She was placed on probation for three years with the following terms and conditions, among others: serve 120 days in custody, pay a restitution fine of \$100.00, and complete a drug program. The court ordered her sentence to run concurrent with her sentence in Case No. CR69575. Counts 2 and 3 of the complaint were dismissed.

July 10, 1996 Conviction in Case No. CR69575

- F. On or about July 8, 1996, Riverside Narcotics Task Force police officers executed a search warrant on Respondent at her residence. Upon questioning by one of the officers, Respondent stated she had methamphetamine in her pants pocket. She gave the officer the methamphetamine and a glass pipe. The officer noticed that she displayed objective signs of being under the influence of a controlled substance, and he conducted a drug evaluation. Upon further questioning, Respondent admitted that she used one line of methamphetamine that day which she ingested by smoking. Respondent was placed under arrest.
- G. On or about July 10, 1996, in Riverside County Superior Court Complaint No. CR69575, Respondent was charged with possession of the controlled substance methamphetamine, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377(a), a misdemeanor (Count 3), use/under the influence of a controlled substance, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a), a misdemeanor (Count 4), and possession of drug paraphernalia, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11364, a misdemeanor (Count 5).
- H. On or about July 10, 1996, Respondent was convicted pursuant to a plea of guilty to possession of a controlled substance (Count 3). Proceedings were suspended, and she was placed on probation for three years with the following terms and conditions, among others: serve 120 days in custody, and pay a restitution fine of \$100.00. The court ordered her sentence to run concurrent with her sentence in Case No. 319343. Counts 4 and 5 of the complaint were dismissed.

- I. On or about October 10, 1996, Respondent failed to appear to be committed to custody, was found to be in violation of her probation, and her probation was revoked. On or about March 19, 1998, Respondent's probation was reinstated. The 120 days county jail condition was suspended on the condition that she complete a 90-day in-patient and 90 day out-patient drug program. On or about April 14, 1998, Respondent failed to appear for her probation hearing. She was found to be in violation of her probation, and her probation was revoked. On or about May 14, 1998 a probation violation hearing was held. Respondent admitted that she violated probation, was found to be in violation of her probation. She was ordered to return on August 20, 1998 for proof of enrollment in a drug court program.
- J. On or about November 22, 2000, Respondent failed to appear for a probation hearing. The court ordered an additional complaint filed adding failure to obey a court order, in violation of Penal Code 166.4, a misdemeanor (Count 6). A bench warrant was issued for her arrest.
- K. On or about July 1, 2005, a hearing was held in which Respondent admitted that she violated her probation. She was ordered to pay her fines and Count 6 of the complaint was dismissed.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Use of a Controlled Substance)

- 16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and Professions Code section 3750.5, subdivision (b), in conjunction with section 3732, subdivision (b), in that she used a controlled substance.
 - A. On or about June 27, 1994, in San Bernardino County Superior Court Complaint No. M0274569, Respondent was charged with use of a controlled substance, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550, a misdemeanor (Count 1), following her arrest on May 6, 1994.
 - B. On or about January 24, 1996, Respondent was granted diversion

for one year pursuant to Penal Code section 1000.2. She was ordered to pay an administrative fee of \$100.00, a diversion restitution fee of \$110.00, and complete a drug treatment program.

C. On or about June 21, 1996, Respondent's diversion was revoked for failure to compete a drug treatment program. On or about April 21, 1998, a diversion hearing was held. Respondent admitted that she violated diversion and her diversion remained revoked. Criminal proceedings were reinstated, and Respondent pled of guilty to use of a controlled substance. She was placed on diversion for one year and ordered to complete a drug rehabilitation program.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Possession of a Controlled Substance)

- 17. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and Professions Code section 3750.5, subdivision (a), in conjunction with section 3732, subdivision (b), in that she possessed a controlled substance.
 - A. Respondent refers to and, by this reference, incorporates paragraphs 15 and 16, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

1	<u>PRAYER</u>	
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters	
3	herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision	
4	1. Denying the application of Tracey Sue Forrester for a Respiratory	
5	Care Practitioner License;	
6	2. Directing Tracey Sue Forrester to pay the Respiratory Care Board	
7	the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the	
8	costs of probation monitoring; and,	
9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and	
10	proper.	
11	DATED: <u>April 5, 2007</u>	
12		
13	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:	
14	STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant	
15		
16		
17	•	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		